## Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office



## Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office



Revised: 10/04/2007

## CITIZEN COMPLAINT

eference Comple	aint #:	Deputy Taking Complaint:		ID #:
omplainant:	NNA	Μ.	RVR	YK.
omplamant	First	Middle	Last	
ddrass: 8483	INDIAN FORD RD	MICTON	FL	32570
ddress	Street	City	State	Zip Code
Iome Phone:	NONE	Work Phone: 350-595.8845	Cell Phone:	850-281-7411
Date and time inc	ident occurred: 06	21/2016		
ocation/Address	of occurrence: CRSO	5755 E. MILTON RD, MILTON F	i 32583	
inployee(s) invo	olved in allegations(s):	DEE SUBIPO		
	CERTINGLE	CRESTVIEW POLICE DEPARTMENT	*	
110000	Name	Street Address Cit	ty/State Hor	me Phone Work Phone

(List additional witnesses in narrative.)

Nature of Allegation(s): IT HAS COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT ON 06/21/2016, MS SUBIDO SPOKE TO OFFICER TINGLE FROM THE CREGIVEW POLICE DEPT IN REFERENCE TO A POLICE OFFICER POSITION THAT I APPLIED FOR ACCORDING TO THE REPORT I RECEIVED FROM THE DEPT, MS PUBIDO STATED SHE WOULD NOT RECOMMEND ME FOR THE POSITION OF A POLICE OFFICER AND THAT I WAS 'NOT CAPABLE OF WORKING IN THE POSITION' AND MAY GET HERCELF OR OTHERS HURT.' IT WAS INDICATED IN THE REPORT THAT AT THE END OF THE CONVERSATION, MS SUBIDO REPEATED HER PERSONAL OPINION BY CTRESSING THAT SHE WOULD'NOT RECOMMEND ME FOR A POSITION IN LAW ENFORCEMENT. MS CUBIPO IS NOT A CERTIFIED LAW ENTOLEMENT OFFICER AND HAD NEVER WORKED AS A PATROL OFFICER THEREFORE; SHE IS NOT QUALIFIED TO OFFER HER PERCONAL OPINION TO FOURE EMPLOYEES IN REGARDS TO JOB POSITIONS THAT SHE HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF IN ADDITION, SHE HAD VIDLATED THE DEPARTMENT POLICY BY TALKING DIRECTLY TO THE AGENCY INSTEAD OF DIRECTING THEM TO THE HUMAN RESOURCE DEPARTMENT. BECAUSE I HAVE APPLIED TO MANY CAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, THERE IS NO TELLING HOW MANY AGENCIES MS SUBIDO HAD LONG IN CONTACT WITH AND HOW IT AFFECTED MY CHANCES FROM BEING HIRED BY THAT FARTICULAR MENOLY. MISREPRESENTATIONS BY MS CUBIDO CAN HARM MY CAREER IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT FIELD AS A POPICE OFFICER AND SHOULD BE REMEDIATED AS SOON APPOSIBLE.

## Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office

Findings:	
Actions Taken:	
Final Clearance:	
Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
Sustained	negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
Not Sustained Unfounded	found to be true.  The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.  The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
Partially Sustained Violation not based	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:	
Date:	By:
Comments:	
	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do hereby affirm that the inf false, misleading or untrue statements, a complaint, may subject me to civil and/ with the intent to mislead a public serva a definite term of imprisonment not exe "Any person who is a particip conducting the investigation and any wi	formation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing int in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by eeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." and in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator interesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
becomes public record as provided in the	n a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding the section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 3 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read t	he preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:	SH. S. J. Japan 17
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	SH day of Sustanber 20 17
(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	
MY CO	AWN C CLARK  DMMISSION #FF071337  JES November 19, 2017
	onidaNotaryService.compage 2 of 2  Revised: 10/04/2007

On 06/21/2016 Officer Tingle made contact via phone with Santa Rosa Human Resources to acquire information about Ann's personnel file. Officer Tingle faxed a copy of the Form 58 to their office and requested the files be delivered via the United States Postal Service. This personnel file covered all facets of Mrs. Ruryk employment with the Santa Rosa County Sherriff's office.

On 06/21/2016, Officer Tingle made contact with Dee Subidu, the Dispatch Supervisor with the Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office. Dee informed him she was the training coordinator during the time of Mrs. Ruryk's employment with this division. Dee stated she worked directly with Mrs. Ruryk. Dee stated she could not provide the exact term of employment for Mrs. Ruryk. Dee stated during her time of employment with this division Mrs. Ruryk was a Dispatcher Trainee. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk's job performance was not acceptable due to her inability to grasp the concepts of a dispatcher. Dee also stated Mrs. Ruryk did not complete training in this division. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk did not receive any reprimands, counseling, suspensions, etc. to the best of her knowledge while under supervision. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk did not receive complaints by other coworkers. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk did not abuse sick leave. When asked if she knew of anything in Mrs. Ruryk's background that would disqualify or preclude Mrs. Ruryk from employment with this agency, Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk's lacked backbone and relied too heavily on others to do her job. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk could be trusted with confidential matters. Dee stated she has never seen Mrs. Ruryk use alcohol or tobacco to excess. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk did not lose her temper easily. Dee stated she has seen Mrs. Ruryk under stressful conditions. Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk could not handle stressful situations and would become absent minded and "locks up under pressure." Dee stated Mrs. Ruryk mixes well with a group and makes friends easily. Dee stated she would not recommend Mrs. Ruryk for the position of Police Officer. Dee elaborated and stated she thinks Mrs. Ruryk would not be capable of working in the position and may get herself or others hurt. Dee again stressed that she would NOT recommend Mrs. Ruryk for a position in law enforcement.